

LECTURE 1

The Springer resolution

Today we will consider an example of a symplectic resolution of singularities. Recall that we are in the following situation. We have a Hamiltonian action of a reductive group G on a symplectic variety X . We can consider the following flavors of Hamiltonian reduction of the Hamiltonian G action on the cotangent bundle T^*X :

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{M}_0 = \mu^{-1}(0) // G$$

where $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the moment map and its twisted version

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{M}_\chi = \mu^{-1}(0) //_\chi G$$

where $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ is a character. There is a canonical map

$$(3) \quad \pi: \mathcal{M}_\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0.$$

By the result we stated last time we see that when \mathcal{M}_χ is non-singular then this map is a resolution of singularities with the additional conditions that

- \mathcal{M}_χ is symplectic and
- \mathcal{M}_0 is Poisson and the map π is a Poisson map.

We call such a resolution of singularities a *symplectic resolution* of singularities.

1.1. A REMINDER OF THE A_1 CASE

Consider $X = \mathbf{A}^2$ with its standard $G = \mathbf{C}^\times$ action by scaling. Then \mathbf{C}^\times acts on the symplectic variety

$$(4) \quad T^*X = \{(i, j) \mid i \in (\mathbf{C}^2)^*, j \in \mathbf{C}^2\}$$

in a Hamiltonian way with moment map $\mu(i, j) = ij$.

We have seen that the affine GIT reduction of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ by \mathbf{C}^\times is the following quadric

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{M}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu^{-1}(0) // \mathbf{C}^\times \simeq Q = \{(a, b, c) \mid a^2 + bc = 0\}$$

Also, for $\chi(\lambda) = \lambda$ we have seen that the twisted GIT reduction is

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{M}_\chi = \mu^{-1}(0) //_\chi \mathbf{C}^\times \simeq T^*\mathbf{P}^1.$$

Furthermore, we have the resolution of singularities

$$(7) \quad \pi: T^*\mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow Q.$$

Of course, $T^*\mathbf{P}^1$ is naturally a symplectic manifold. There is also a Poisson structure on Q defined by

$$(8) \quad \{b, c\} = 2a, \quad \{a, b\} = b, \quad \{a, c\} = -c.$$

Each of these structures is compatible with the standard symplectic structure on $T^*\mathbf{A}^2$, and furthermore the map π is a Poisson morphism.

1.2. THE SPRINGER RESOLUTION

We consider a generalization of this example. In the remainder of this section we take $G = SL(n, \mathbf{C})$, but any semi-simple reductive group will work. Consider the *nilpotent cone* defined by

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid a^N = 0, \text{ for some } N\} \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then \mathcal{N} is an affine algebraic variety and it is equipped with a \mathbf{C}^\times action $a \mapsto \lambda a$ (this is why it is called a ‘cone’). When $G = SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ then we have $\mathcal{N} = Q$ from the previous example. In general, \mathcal{N} is a singular affine variety with cone point $0 \in \mathcal{N}$.

Consider a maximal torus $T \subset G$ with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . Let $B \subset G$ be a Borel subgroup containing T . In the case $G = SL(n, \mathbf{C})$ we can take B to be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. Define the *flag variety* of G to be

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{F} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G/B$$

It is isomorphic to the variety of all Borel subgroups of G . In the case $G = SL(n, \mathbf{C})$ this is isomorphic to the set of full flags of n -dimensional space

$$(11) \quad 0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_n \simeq \mathbf{C}^n$$

where $\dim V_i = i$. We will denote such a flag by $V_\bullet \in \mathcal{F}$.

Consider the special case of $G = SL(n, \mathbf{C})$. Let

$$(12) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(V_\bullet, y) \mid yV_i \subset V_{i-1}\} \subset \mathcal{F} \times \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C}).$$

Notice that the condition $yV_i \subset V_{i-1}$ implies that y is nilpotent, thus

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{N}.$$

If we think about \mathcal{F} instead as the space of all Borel subalgebras then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is the set of pairs (\mathfrak{b}, y) such that $y \in \mathfrak{b}$. The projection $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle with fiber $[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{n}$.

Proposition 1.2.1. *There is an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \simeq T^*\mathcal{F}$. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ carries a symplectic structure. Further, the natural left action of $SL(n, \mathbf{C})$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is Hamiltonian with moment map*

$$(14) \quad \mu: \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$$

defined by $\mu(V, y) = y$.

PROOF. Fix a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ on \mathbf{C}^n and let V_\bullet^0 denote the standard flag given by

$$(15) \quad V_i^0 = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_i\}.$$

Then the Borel subgroup B is

$$(16) \quad B = \{g \in SL(n, \mathbf{C}) \mid g \cdot V_i^0 \subset V_i\}.$$

Now, from example ?? we have an identification

$$(17) \quad T^*\mathcal{F} = \{(V, y) \mid \text{tr}(ay) = 0, \text{ for any } a \text{ with } a \cdot V_i \subset V_i\} \subset \mathcal{F} \times \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C}).$$

This implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \simeq T^*\mathcal{F}$ as desired. \square

Since the image of the moment map μ is contained in the nilpotent elements we see that it defines a map

$$(18) \quad \mu: \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

It turns out that this is a symplectic resolution of singularities. For more details we refer to [CG10].

1.3. SPRINGER RESOLUTION IN GENERAL

We briefly sketch how this construction is generalized to the case of an arbitrary semisimple group G .

Lemma 1.3.1. *There is an isomorphism*

$$(19) \quad T(\mathcal{F}) \simeq G \times^B \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$$

PROOF. Consider the trivial vector bundle on the G/B with fiber \mathfrak{g} . There is a surjective map of vector bundles

$$(20) \quad L: G/B \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T(G/B)$$

which sends a pair (gB, x) to the pair $(gB, \zeta_x(gB))$ where ζ_x is the vector field on G/B determined by the infinitesimal left action of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. The kernel of this map is the vector bundle whose fiber over gB is the Lie algebra of the stabilizer of gB ; which is the Borel subalgebra $\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{b}$. There is an isomorphism

$$(21) \quad G \times^B \mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \ker L$$

sending $[g, x] \mapsto (gB, gxg^{-1})$. Thus we have an isomorphism of vector bundles

$$(22) \quad T(G/B) \simeq (G/B \times \mathfrak{g}) / (G \times^B \mathfrak{b}) \simeq G \times^B \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$$

as desired. \square

As a consequence we have

$$(23) \quad T^*(G/B) \simeq G \times^B (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})^*.$$

Since G is semisimple we identify this with

$$(24) \quad T^*(G/B) \simeq G \times^B \mathfrak{b}^\perp,$$

where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^\perp$ is the orthogonal decomposition with respect to the Killing form. Furthermore, there is a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ where $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ is the subalgebra of nilpotent elements in \mathfrak{b} . One can show that $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b}^\perp$, so that $T^*(G/B) \simeq G \times^B \mathfrak{n}$.

Now, G acts on G/B so it automatically acts on $T^*(G/B)$ in a Hamiltonian way. Explicitly, the moment map

$$(25) \quad \mu: G \times^B \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$$

is $\mu([g, x]) = gxg^{-1}$. Again, it is immediate to see that this map lands in the set of nilpotent elements $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, so it defines a map

$$(26) \quad \mu: T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

Again, this is a symplectic resolution of singularities.

1.4. KÄHLER QUOTIENTS

We return to the relationship between the quotient of a vector space by a real compact Lie group and the GIT reduction by the corresponding complex reductive group. Let K be a compact real Lie group and let G be its complex form. We assume that $K \subset G$ and that the complexification $K(\mathbf{C}) \simeq G$. Notice that at the level of Lie algebras we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$.

Let $V_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a real vector space equipped with a positive definite inner product g and a compatible complex structure I . When we chose to view $V_{\mathbf{R}}$ as a complex vector space via I we denote it by V . This equips $V_{\mathbf{R}}$ with the structure of a Kähler manifold. Let $(-, -)$ be the corresponding Hermitian inner product and let $\omega = \text{Im}(-, -) \in \wedge^2 V_{\mathbf{R}}^*$ be the symplectic form. Suppose that $K \subset U(V_{\mathbf{R}})$ acts unitarily on V and hence its complexification $G \subset GL(V, \mathbf{C})$ acts through complex linear transformations.

By assumption, the action of K is symplectic with respect to ω . Thus we have a moment map

$$(27) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{R}}: V_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

defined explicitly by the rule that

$$(28) \quad \langle \mu_{\mathbf{R}}(v), a \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \omega(x, a \cdot x).$$

But, since ω is the imaginary part of the Hermitian inner product, we can write the moment map as $\langle \mu_{\mathbf{R}}(v), a \rangle = \frac{i}{2} (a \cdot x, x)$.

In this situation we can contemplate two quotients, namely $\mu_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(0)/K$ and $V // G$. (Recall that generally the G -orbit of an arbitrary element $v \in V$ will not be G -closed, so there is no expected relationship between V/K and $V // G$.) The Kempf–Ness theorem states that these quotients can naturally be identified.

THEOREM 1.4.1 ([KN79]). *The G -orbit of any $x \in \mu_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(0)$ in V is closed and furthermore there is an isomorphism*

$$(29) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(0)/K \xrightarrow{\cong} V // G$$

intertwining the complex structures.

We will not prove this theorem, but let us unpack the last statement. By definition, the space $\mu_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(0)/K$ is the real Hamiltonian reduction of V by the K -action. It inherits a Kähler structure from that on V . On the other hand, the right hand side $V // G$ is the affine GIT quotient, which is a complex affine variety by definition.

Bibliography

- [CG10] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg. *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Reprint of the 1997 edition. Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010, pp. x+495. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.bu.edu/10.1007/978-0-8176-4938-8>.
- [KN79] G. Kempf and L. Ness. "The length of vectors in representation spaces". *Algebraic geometry (Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1978)*. Vol. 732. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 233–243.