

## LECTURE 7

### Symplectic reduction, I

We turn to an alternative description of affine (and twisted<sup>1</sup>) GIT quotients. Let's motivate this by considering a simple example.

#### 7.1. REDUCTIVE VERSUS UNITARY

Let  $V$  be a vector space equipped with a hermitian metric. Let  $G \subset U(V)$  be a connected closed Lie group acting by unitary transformations on  $V$ .

**Warning:** In this section  $G$  denotes a real compact Lie group. We will denote its complex form by  $G^{\mathbf{C}}$ .

We have defined the affine GIT quotient

$$(1) \quad V // G^{\mathbf{C}} = \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[V]^{G^{\mathbf{C}}}.$$

The underlying space consists of the set of closed  $G^{\mathbf{C}}$ -orbits. Let's consider the example

$$(2) \quad V = \text{End}(\mathbf{C}^n)$$

with  $g \in G = U(n)$  acting by conjugation  $g \cdot B = g^{-1}Bg$ . In this case,  $G^{\mathbf{C}} = GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ , and we have identified the closed  $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ -orbits: a matrix has a closed  $G^{\mathbf{C}}$ -orbit if and only if it is diagonalizable. Hence

$$(3) \quad V // G^{\mathbf{C}} = \text{End}(\mathbf{C}^n) // GL(n, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \mathbf{C}^n.$$

On the other hand, a matrix  $B$  satisfies

$$(4) \quad [B, B^{\dagger}] = 0$$

if and only if it can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix (to see this use Schur's lemma which states that any complex square matrix is unitary equivalent to an upper triangular matrix). Thus there is a bijection

$$(5) \quad \text{End}(\mathbf{C}^n) // GL(n, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \{B \in \text{End}(\mathbf{C}^n) \mid [B, B^{\dagger}] = 0\} / U(n).$$

If we let

$$(6) \quad \mu: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

be  $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}[B, B^{\dagger}]$  (where we use the hermitian form to identify  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(U(n))$  with  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ) then we can rewrite this as

$$(7) \quad V // G^{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mu^{-1}(0) / U(n).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We introduce twisted GIT quotients today

The right hand side is called the symplectic, or Hamiltonian, reduction. We will see this is a general feature about affine GIT quotients. Before that, we introduce a slight variant of the affine GIT quotient.

## 7.2. TWISTED GIT QUOTIENT

For an affine algebraic variety  $X$  which is acted on by a reductive group  $G$  we have seen that the GIT quotient  $X // G$  is in bijective correspondence with the set of closed  $G$ -orbits.

A projective variety  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  has a canonical  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -grading on its algebra of global functions

$$(8) \quad A_{\bullet} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$$

where  $A_n$  is the algebra of degree  $n$  homogenous polynomials restricted to  $X$ . One can recover  $X$  from the graded algebra  $A_{\bullet}$  via the 'proj' construction

$$(9) \quad X = \text{Proj}(A_{\bullet}).$$

The closed points of  $\text{Proj}(A_{\bullet})$  correspond to the set of graded ideals  $J_{\bullet} \subset A_{\bullet}$  which are maximal among graded ideals not containing  $A_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} A_n$ .

Let us return back to the situation of a reductive group  $G$  acting on an affine algebraic variety  $X$ . Suppose that  $\chi$  is a character of  $G$ , meaning a homomorphism  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$ . Define the space of  $\chi$ -twisted invariant functions to be

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{C}[X]^{G, \chi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in \mathbf{C}[X] \mid f(g \cdot x) = \chi(g)f(x)\} \subset \mathbf{C}[X].$$

Notice that when  $\chi = 1$  then this return the usual  $G$ -invariants, but in general  $\mathbf{C}[X]^{G, \chi}$  is not an algebra.

Even though  $\mathbf{C}[X]^{G, \chi}$  is not an algebra, we obtain a canonical graded algebra by the formula

$$(11) \quad A_{\bullet} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{C}[X]^{G, \chi^n}.$$

Notice that  $A_0 = \mathbf{C}[X]^G$  is the usual algebra of invariants functions.

**Definition 7.2.1.** The *twisted GIT quotient* is the quasi-projective variety

$$(12) \quad M //_{\chi} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{C}[X]^{G, \chi^n} \right)$$

The canonical map  $\mathbf{C}[X]^G \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{C}[X]^{G, \chi^n}$  induces a projective map

$$(13) \quad \pi: M //_{\chi} G \rightarrow X // G.$$

Consider a character  $\chi$  as above. Extend the  $G$ -action on  $X$  to a  $G$ -action on the total space of the trivial line bundle  $X \times \mathbf{C}$  by the formula

$$(14) \quad g \cdot (x, \mu) = (g \cdot x, \chi(g^{-1})\mu).$$

A point  $x \in X$  is called  $\chi$ -*semistable* if for any  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}^{\times} \subset \mathbf{C}$  the closure of the orbit of  $(x, \mu)$  is disjoint from the zero section:

$$(15) \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{(x, \mu)} \cap (X \times \{0\}) = \emptyset.$$

An equivalent way to understand semistability is the following.

**Lemma 7.2.2.** *A point  $x \in X$  is  $\chi$ -semistable if and only if there exists an  $f \in \mathbf{C}[X]^{G, \chi^n}$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ , such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ .*

From this characterization it is easy to see that the set of  $\chi$ -semistable elements

$$(16) \quad X_\chi^{ss} \subset X$$

is  $G$ -invariant.

**THEOREM 7.2.3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a reductive group acting on an affine variety  $X$  and let  $\chi$  be a character of  $G$ . Then*

1. *The map  $X //_\chi G \rightarrow X // G$  is surjective.*
2. *As topological spaces one has*

$$(17) \quad X //_\chi G \simeq X_\chi^{ss} / \sim$$

*where  $\mathcal{O} \sim \mathcal{O}'$  iff  $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}'} \cap X^{ss} \neq \emptyset$ .*

3. *There is a bijection*

$$(18) \quad X //_\chi G \simeq \{\text{closed orbits in } X^{ss}\}.$$

Notice that orbits which are closed in  $X^{ss}$  may not be closed in  $X$ , so that the twisted GIT reduction has the potential to see a wider class of orbits.

**Example 7.2.4.** Consider the  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  action on  $\mathbf{A}^2$  which scales each direction the same. Then we have seen that

$$(19) \quad \mathbf{A}^2 / \mathbf{C}^\times = \{0\} \cup \mathbf{P}^1, \quad \mathbf{A}^2 // \mathbf{C}^\times = \{0\}.$$

Let  $\chi(\lambda) = \lambda$ . Then

$$(20) \quad (\mathbf{A}^2)_\chi^{ss} = \mathbf{A}^2 \setminus 0,$$

and hence

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{A}^2 //_\chi \mathbf{C}^\times = \mathbf{P}^1.$$