

## LECTURE 1

# Symplectic reduction, II

### 1.1. RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

Recall that quotients are the most well-behaved when the group action is free. We say a point  $x \in X$  is *regular* if the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is closed and the stabilizer  $G_x$  is trivial. The set of regular elements  $X^{reg} \subset X$  is open and  $G$ -invariant. Moreover, we can consider the set of regular orbits

$$(1) \quad (X // G)^{reg} \subset X // G.$$

From the Luna slice theorem it follows that the set of regular points and the set of regular orbits  $(X // G)^{reg}$  is open in  $X // G$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.1.** *The following hold.*

1. If  $x \in X^{reg}$  then  $x$  is  $\chi$ -stable for any character  $\chi$ .
2. The subspace of regular orbits  $(X // G)^{reg}$  is nonsingular. Let  $\pi: X //_{\chi} G \rightarrow X // G$  be the canonical map, then

$$(2) \quad (X //_{\chi} G)^{reg} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi^{-1}(X // G)^{reg}$$

is also nonsingular and the map

$$(3) \quad \pi: (X //_{\chi} G)^{reg} \rightarrow (X // G)^{reg}$$

is an isomorphism.

Recall that a morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  is called a resolution of singularities if  $X$  is non-singular and  $\pi$  is proper and birational. Being birational means that there exists an open dense subset  $Y_0 \subset Y$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(Y_0)$  is dense in  $X$  and the restriction  $\pi: \pi^{-1}(Y_0) \rightarrow Y_0$  is an isomorphism.

As a consequence of the twisted GIT theorem above we have the following result on resolutions of singularities.

**Corollary 1.1.2.** *Suppose that  $X^{reg}$  is nonempty and that  $X //_{\chi} G$  is nonsingular and connected. Then*

$$(4) \quad \pi: X //_{\chi} G \rightarrow X // G$$

is a resolution of singularities.

**PROOF.** By the theorem we see that  $(X //_{\chi} G)^{reg}$  is nonempty and open in  $X //_{\chi} G$ . □

1.2. TYPE  $A_1$  SINGULARITY

Consider the affine subvariety

$$(5) \quad X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(i, j) \mid ij = 0\} \subset (\mathbf{C}^2)^* \times \mathbf{C}^2 \simeq \mathbf{C}^4.$$

There is an action of  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  on  $X$  defined by

$$(6) \quad \lambda \cdot (i, j) = (\lambda i, \lambda^{-1} j).$$

We first consider the affine GIT quotient  $X // \mathbf{C}^\times$ .

Let  $\text{End}_0(\mathbf{C}^2) \subset \text{End}(\mathbf{C}^2)$  denote the three-dimensional vector space of traceless  $2 \times 2$  matrices. Every such matrix has the form

$$(7) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{C}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.1.** *Let*

$$(8) \quad Q = \{A \mid \det(A) = 0\} \subset \text{End}_0(\mathbf{C}^2)$$

*be the singular quadric defined by the equation*

$$(9) \quad a^2 + bc = 0$$

*in  $\mathbf{A}^3 = \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[a, b, c]$ . The map*

$$(10) \quad \Phi: X // \mathbf{C}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$$

*defined by  $\Phi(i, j) = ji$  is an isomorphism of affine varieties.*

PROOF. It is easy to see that  $\tilde{\Phi}: X \rightarrow Q$  is well-defined since the condition  $ij = 0$  implies that  $\text{tr}(ji) = \det(ji) = 0$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\Phi}$  descends to the map  $\Phi: X // \mathbf{C}^\times \rightarrow Q$ . The inverse sends a  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$(11) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \in Q$$

to the pair  $[(i_A, j_A)]$  where  $i_A = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}$  and  $j_A = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \end{pmatrix}^t$ . If  $a = 0$  then either  $b$  or  $c$  must be zero; in the case  $b = 0$  then we set  $x = 0, y = 1, z = c, w = 0$ . It is easy to see that this is well-defined up to the  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  action. If  $a \neq 0$  then set  $x = a, y = b, z = 1, w = c/a$ .  $\square$

Points in  $X$  with zero stabilizer are those where both  $i, j$  are nonzero. This is equivalent to the condition that  $ji \neq 0$ . Thus

$$(12) \quad (X // \mathbf{C}^\times)^{\text{reg}} \simeq Q \setminus \{0\}.$$

This is certainly a nonsingular variety.

Let's now consider the twisted GIT quotient. For the character let's take the identity morphism  $\chi = \mathbb{1}: \mathbf{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ . Suppose that  $(i, j; \mu) \in X \times \mathbf{C}$  thought of as elements of the trivial line bundle over  $X$ . Then using the action in (??) we have, for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^\times$

$$(13) \quad \lambda \cdot (i, j; \mu) = (\lambda i, \lambda^{-1} j; \lambda^{-1} \mu).$$

Notice that if  $j = 0$  and  $i \neq 0, \mu \neq 0$  then

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{O}_{(i,0;\mu)} = \{(a, 0; \alpha) \mid a \neq 0, \alpha \neq 0\} \subset X \times \mathbf{C}.$$

Thus  $(i, 0)$  is not a semi-stable point. Conversely we see that as long as  $j \neq 0$  then the point  $(i, j)$  is semi-stable.

**Proposition 1.2.2.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$(15) \quad X //_{\chi} \mathbf{C}^{\times} \simeq \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{P}^1.$$

PROOF. From the characterization of semi-stable elements we see that

$$(16) \quad X //_{\chi} \mathbf{C}^{\times} = \{(L, i) \mid L \subset \mathbf{C}^2 \text{ line}, \quad i|_L = 0\}.$$

Thus, there is a canonical map  $X //_{\chi} \mathbf{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  defined by  $(L, i) \mapsto L$ . This map endows  $X //_{\chi} \mathbf{C}^{\times}$  with the structure of a line bundle over  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . We will identify this line bundle.

Let  $L \subset \mathbf{C}^2$  be a line. A choice of a nonzero vector  $v \in L$  determines an isomorphism  $T_L \mathbf{P}^1 \simeq_v \mathbf{C}^2/L$ . Hence

$$(17) \quad \mathbf{T}_L^* \mathbf{P}^1 \simeq \{i: \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow L \mid i|_L = 0\}.$$

This isomorphism is independent of the choice of nonzero  $v \in L$ . Thus  $X //_{\chi} \mathbf{C}^{\times} \simeq \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{P}^1$ .  $\square$

From this discussion we conclude that there is a resolution of singularities

$$(18) \quad \pi: \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow Q.$$

This resolution is a special case of the so-called Springer resolution which we will discuss next time.

### 1.3. SYMPLECTIC ACTIONS

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and suppose  $G$  is acting on  $M$ .

- If  $M$  is a smooth symplectic manifold then we assume that  $G$  is a real Lie group and the action is smooth.
- If  $M$  is a symplectic algebraic variety then we assume that  $G$  is a linear algebraic group acting algebraically.

The  $G$ -action is *symplectic* if it preserves the symplectic form; that is for every  $g \in G$  the corresponding diffeomorphism  $\phi_g$  satisfies  $\phi_g^* \omega = \omega$ . Infinitesimally, this means that for every  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  the corresponding vector field  $X_{\xi} \in \text{Vect}(M)$  satisfies

$$(19) \quad L_{X_{\xi}} \omega = 0.$$

Such vector fields are called symplectic vector fields; the space of all symplectic vector fields  $\text{Vect}_{\omega}(M) \subset \text{Vect}(M)$  is a sub Lie algebra of the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields. So, a symplectic action  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $M$  determines a map of Lie algebras

$$(20) \quad D\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\omega}(M).$$

Locally, in the  $C^\infty$  world, every symplectic vector field is determined by a function. Indeed the symplectic form determines an isomorphism of  $\text{Vect}_\omega(M)$  with the space of *closed* one-forms  $\Omega^{1,cl}(M)$ . But, by the  $C^\infty$ -Poincaré lemma, locally every closed one-form is exact. So, given a symplectic vector field  $\xi$  we can locally find a function  $H \in C^\infty(M)$  such that

$$(21) \quad \xi = X_H$$

where  $X_H = \omega^{-1}(dH)$  is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to  $H$ .

The symplectic form  $\omega$  determines a Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\}$  on the commutative algebra of functions. The map

$$(22) \quad \{-, -\}: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_\omega(M)$$

is a map of Lie algebras. Every constant function is sent to the zero vector field. Using this, one can show that there is a central extension of Lie algebras

$$(23) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_\omega(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

This extension may not be split.

**Definition 1.3.1.** A symplectic action  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $M$  is *Hamiltonian* if there exists a  $G$ -equivariant map

$$(24) \quad \mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

such that

- (1) For any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  the function

$$(25) \quad H_a(x) = \langle \mu(x), a \rangle$$

is a Hamiltonian function for the vector field  $\xi_a = D\rho(a)$ .

- (2) The assignment  $a \mapsto H_a$  is a map of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ .

**Example 1.3.2.** Suppose that  $V$  is a vector space equipped with a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form  $\omega \in \wedge^2 V^*$ . Thus  $(V, \omega)$  is a symplectic vector space. Suppose that  $G \subset Sp(V)$  acts on  $V$  in a way that preserves  $\omega$ . Such an action is always Hamiltonian. Indeed, define

$$(26) \quad \mu: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

by the rule

$$(27) \quad \langle \mu(v), a \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \omega(v, a \cdot v), \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Here  $\langle -, - \rangle$  denotes the canonical pairing between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and its dual.

**Example 1.3.3.** Suppose that  $N$  is a smooth manifold with a  $G$ -action. Then  $G$  extends to a Hamiltonian action on  $T^*N$  with moment map defined by

$$(28) \quad \langle \mu(x, \eta), a \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \eta, \xi_a(x) \rangle$$

where the right-hand side is the canonical pairing between one-forms and vector fields.

A nice way to summarize the structure of the moment map is the following. We have pointed out that the algebra of functions on a symplectic manifold is equipped with a Poisson bracket. More generally, we can consider manifolds (which are not necessarily symplectic) whose functions are equipped with a Poisson bracket—such a manifold is a Poisson manifold. For any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  its dual  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , thought of as a vector space, satisfies

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The Lie bracket  $[-, -]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  determines a Poisson bracket on  $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  has the canonical structure of a Poisson manifold.

**THEOREM 1.3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian  $G$  action. Then the moment map  $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is a map of Poisson manifolds.*